

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/602,779 | 06/24/2003 | Tetsujiro Kondo | 450100-04609 | 1681 | |
| FROMMER L | 7590 01/25/2010 AWRENCE & HAUG LI | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 745 FIFTH AVENUE | | | DUFFIELD, JEREMY S | | |
| NEW YORK, | NY 10151 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2427 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/25/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 10/602,779 | KONDO ET AL. | | | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| JEREMY DUFFIELD | 2427 | | | | | |

| | JEREMY DUFFIELD | 2427 | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application, application, application, application and timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07() | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the pellition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purpose of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee have been filled to the solution of the control of | | | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | , | | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | 16 133063 101 | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1: 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | | OTOL 204) | | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | ripliant Amendment (| - I OL-324). | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the | | | | | | |
| 7. \(\subseteq for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | be entered and an ex | planation of | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | | |
| The artifaction of the revidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attach | ed. | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| /Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427 | | | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendments do not merely cancel claims, adopt examiner suggestions, remove issues for appeal, or in some way require only a cursory review by the examiner. Accordingly further search and/or consideration is required on the part of the examiner.